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| COMHAIRLE CONTAE ÃTHA CLIATH THEASSOUTH DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCILMinutes of South Dublin County Council Clondalkin Area Committee Meeting dealing with Economic Development, Libraries & Arts, Corporate Support, Performance & Change Management, Environment, Water & Drainage, Public Realm, Housing, Community, Transportation and Planning held on 18th May 2016.

|  |
| --- |
| **PRESENT** |
| **COUNCILLORS** |
|  Cllr. F. Timmons  |
| Cllr. B. Bonner |
|  Cllr. T. Gilligan |
|  Cllr J. Graham |
| Cllr. K. Egan |
|  Cllr. M. Johansson  |
|  Cllr. M. Ward  |

Apologies received from Councillor E. Higgins for her inability to attend.An Cathaoirleach, Councillor F. Timmons presided. OFFICIALS PRESENT

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| M Maguire, H. Hogan,  | Senior Executive Officers |
| M McAdam | A/Senior Engineer |
| B. Meenaghan | A/County Librarian |
| M. Hannon, D. Fennell | Senior Executive Parks Superintendents |
| J. Kennelly | Senior Executive Engineer |
| S. Duff | Senior Executive Planner |
| B Fogarty | Administrative Officer  |
| B. Clifford | Staff Officer |
| I. Kenny | Assistant Staff Officer |

C/312/16 H-1 Item ID: 48698 **Confirmation and Re-affirmation of Minutes of Meeting of 20th April 2016**[HI 1](http://www.sdublincoco.ie/sdcc/departments/corporate/apps/cmas/documentsview.aspx?id=51736) **The Minutes of the April Meeting of the Clondalkin Area Committee dealing with, Planning, Transportation, Libraries & Arts, Economic Development, Performance & Change Management, Corporate Support, Water & Drainage, Public Realm, Environment, Community and Housing held on 20th April, 2016, which have been circulated were submitted, **APPROVED** as a true record and signed.** **It was proposed by Councillor F. Timmons and seconded by Councillor M. Johansson and **RESOLVED:****“That the recommendation contained in the Minutes of the April Clondalkin Area Meeting held on 20th April be ADOPTED and APPROVED.Economic DevelopmentC/313/16 QuestionsIt was proposed by Councillor F. Timmons and seconded by Councillor K. Egan and Resolved:“That pursuant to Standing Order 13, Question 1 be ADOPTED and APPROVED”.C/314/16 Q (1) Item ID:48999 – Ownership of land at Green Isle HotelCouncillor F. Timmons"To ask the Chief Executive for a report as to ownership history for the land at the Green isle hotel beside old Naas Road wall?" **REPLY:**The lands concerned are in private ownership.The Council did acquire lands from the “then” owner in the 1960’s to facilitate the construction of the Naas Road, this included a section at the eastern end to facilitate an entrance /exit.  The area referred to remained, and still remains, in private ownership.C/315/16 H-2 Item ID:49299New Works **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**C/316/16 C(1) Item ID:49300Correspondence **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**Libraries & ArtsC/317/16 QuestionsIt was NOTED there was no business under this headingC/318/16 H-3 Item ID:49301The following report was presented by Ms. B. Meenaghan, A/County Librarian;**Library News & Events**[H-I 3](http://www.sdublincoco.ie/sdcc/departments/corporate/apps/cmas/documentsview.aspx?id=52022) C/319/16 H-4 Item ID:49302The following report was presented by Ms. B. Meenaghan, A/County Librarian;**Application for Arts Grants**An Application for an Arts Grant under Section 6 of the Arts Act 2003, has been received from the organisation listed below. Payment of this grant, in accordance with the conditions of the Scheme and in the amount set out hereunder, is recommended for approval:-

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Ref:  | Name of Group  | Type of Application - Grant  | Date Received   | Amount€  |
| Agf869  | Knockmitten Youth and Community Centre  | Grant towards cost of presenting a play  | 29/4/2016  | 150  |

 It was proposed by Councillor F. Timmons and seconded by Councillor K. Egan and RESOLVED:“That this committee recommends that South Dublin County Council approve payment of the above grant recommended in the forgoing report”.C/320/16 H-5 Item ID:49303NEW WORKS **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**C/321/16 C(2) Item ID:49304Correspondence **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**C/322/16 M(1) Item ID:49456 – Reissue of books by the late Joe WilliamsCouncillor F. Timmons**Cathaoirleach's Business****It was proposed by Councillor F. Timmons and seconded by Councillor M. Ward**"That this committee asks that books by recently deceased Historian Joe Williams be reissued and also be included in the Tower Heritage centre''The following report by the Chief Executive was **READ**:The Libraries will place an order for a reprint of the book “St. Mochua and The Round Tower” by the late Joe Williams. This title will be for sale in the branch libraries across the county, and it is also proposed to have it available for sale in the new Tower Heritage Centre.At present there is a small number of copies available for sale in Clondalkin Library. There are also several copies of this title for lending within the South Dublin Library system.Following a contribution from Councillor F. Timmons, Ms. B. Meenaghan, A/County Librarian, responded to query raised and the report was **NOTED**C/323/16 M(2) Item ID:49448 Reissue of books by the late Joe WilliamsCouncillor B. Bonner**In the absence of Councillor B. Bonner the following motion FELL:**"This committee recommends that the book on the history of Clondalkin's Round Tower be reprinted by the Library Service as a tribute to its author the late Joe Williams."Corporate SupportC/324/16 QuestionsIt was NOTED there was no business under this headingC/325/16 H-6 Item ID:49348New Works **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**C/326/16 C(3) Item ID:49349Correspondence(a) Letter dated 18th March 2016  to Deputy Frances Fitzgerald, Minister for Justice & Equality and reply dated 21st April from Chistopher Quattrociocchi, Private Secretary to the Minister for Justice & Equality[Corr (a)](http://www.sdublincoco.ie/sdcc/departments/corporate/apps/cmas/documentsview.aspx?id=52051) The correspondence was **NOTED** C/327/16 M(3) ID:49458 – Security/Policing at Rathcoole Community CentreCouncillor F. Timmons**Cathaoirleach's Business****It was proposed by Councillor F. Timmons and seconded by Councillor M. Ward:**"That the Minister for Justice be written to outlining Rathcoole Community Centres Policing/Security issues. The level of break ins has increased, there is an increasingly ageing population and the number of Gardaí available has dropped."The following report by the Chief Executive was **READ**:If the Motion is passed the matter will be referred to the Minister of Justice and a copy of the reply will, when received, be circulated to the members. The Motion was **PASSED.**Performance & Change ManagementC/328/16 QuestionsIt was NOTED there was no business under this headingC/329/16 H-7 Item ID:49305New Works **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**C/330/16 C(4) Item ID:49306Correspondence **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**EnvironmentC/331/16 QuestionsIt was NOTED there was no business under this headingC/332/16 H-8 Item ID:49307New Works **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**C/333/16 C(5) Item ID:49308Correspondence **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**Water & DrainageC/334/16 QuestionsIt was NOTED there was no business under this headingC/335/16 H-9 Item ID:49309New Works **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**C/336/16 C(6) 6 Item ID:49310Correspondence **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**C/337/16 M(4) Item ID:49450 – Flooding at Mill Shopping CentreCouncillor F. Timmons**Cathaoirleach's Business****It was proposed by Councillor F. Timmons and seconded by Councillor B. Bonner:**"That this committee calls on SDCC to work with the Management company of the Mill Shopping Centre in order to resolve flooding at Footprints Montessori school beside the sports and Leisure Centre."The following report by the Chief Executive was **READ**:The origin of this flooding is the Clondalkin Mill Pond and the downstream trash screen which are in private ownership. A fuller explanation of this issue is contained in our letter to Cllr. Timmons of 8th April 2016.In the past South Dublin County Council has contacted the owner/management in attempt to resolve the flooding problem without success and we now consider that the current complaints would best be addressed by contacting the Mill Centre Management directly.Following contributions from Councillor F. Timmons, Mr. M. McAdam, A/Senior Engineer, responded to queries raised and the report was **NOTED**Public RealmC/338/16 QuestionsIt was proposed by Councillor F. Timmons and seconded by Councillor K. Egan and Resolved:“That pursuant to Standing Order 13, Questions 2 - 5 be ADOPTED and APPROVED”.C/339/16 Q(2) Item ID:49457 – Illegal dumping at knockmittenCouncillor B. BonnerSome residents in Knockmitten (names and addresses supplied) are worried that the prevalence of illegal dumping is causing a problem with rats.  Could this be investigated please.**REPLY:**Both residents have been contacted in relation to the issues raised. The first issue relates to long grass in front of residents houses and the potential for it to attract rats. The resident was advised that the Council cuts roadside verges on district distributor roads, main roads and outside public parks and open spaces as part of grass cutting operations. The Council does not cut roadside verges to the front or side of houses in housing estates. The cutting of grass outside the houses would be a matter for residents. The verges on the roads in question were inspected and there was no evidence of rats present.The second issue relates to dumping on the open space between the M50 and Monksfield estates. This area suffer from regular dumping and there were three bags of cans and BBQ debris left on the open space at the time of inspection. These have since been removed.C/340/16 Q(3) Item ID:49021 Councillor E. Higgins"To ask the Chief Executive for a report on the number of complaints received, and the nature of the complaints, in relation to the trees that were removed from Main St., Rathcoole."**REPLY:**Following complaints to the Council from local residents and businesses relating to two of the mature London plane trees on the Main Street, Rathcoole, an inspection of the health and condition of all of the London plane trees was carried out by Council staff.  There are three complaints recorded on the Council’s Customer Contact System in respect of two of the London Plane trees and in addition, several telephone calls, the dates and details of which are not recorded on the Council’s Customer Contact System and email exchanges have been received by various staff in the Council’s Public Realm Section in relation to the trees.  The issues of complaint related to structural damage of adjoining buildings from overhanging branches and trip hazards on the footpaths.  Arising from the inspection, five London plane trees were identified and scheduled for removal on safety grounds.  South Dublin County Council’s Tree Management Policy, adopted in 2015, sets out circumstances where trees require to be pruned or felled. The Council is reluctant to remove trees and will do so only when necessary as a last resort. This is done where there is a risk to public safety that cannot otherwise be mitigated. There were a variety of issues underpinning the decision to remove the trees. A number of the trees were displaying signs of decay and rot undermining the structural stability of the trees and rendering them prone to sudden and unpredictable failure thereby presenting a hazard to public safety on this very busy pedestrian and vehicular thoroughfare. London plane trees have a very vigorous rooting system and some of the trees had also outgrown the available rooting space under the footpath causing damage to the pedestrian footpaths and creating trip hazards. The species is also characterised by a large canopy and given the confines of the streetscape where the trees were located along Rathcoole’s main street with narrow footpaths and very close proximity to buildings, branches of some of the trees were coming in contact and causing structural damage to adjoining properties. None of the issues outlined could be simply dealt with by cutting back branches and the trees would continue to cause problems into the future.Prior to the scheduled removal of the five trees on safety grounds, a South Dublin County Council official attended a meeting of Rathcoole Community Council on February 9th last to inform local people of the proposed removal of the five trees and the issued was noted in the February newsletter from the Community Council.  At the meeting, the Council official outlined the reasons that informed the decision made by the Council to remove the five trees.  While it was acknowledged that many people would prefer not to see the trees removed, it was accepted at the meeting that the proposed removals were necessary.  At the meeting, the members of the Community Council requested that the Council also consider removal of a sixth tree opposite the Church. The footpath was very narrow at this point and there were accessibility issues being caused by the location of this tree.It is always regrettable that mature trees have to be felled but there is no alternative where hazardous trees prevail posing a genuine risk to public safety and an unsustainable liability to the County Council. South Dublin County Council has a duty of care towards the public and is obliged to act in the interests of safety in situations like this.Replacement trees suitable for the planting location and which will not lead to similar problems in the future will be planted at various locations throughout Rathcoole village during the next planting season or as soon as is practicable. Consultation will be undertaken with the local people in regard to proposals for new and replacement tree planting.C/341/16 Q(4) Item ID:48639 – Removal of hanging baskets in ClondalkinCouncillor F. Timmons"To ask the Chief Executive for a report into removal of hanging baskets in Clondalkin and when will this work be carried out?"**REPLY:**The hanging baskets in Clondalkin Village were removed in February 2016.C/342/16 Q(5) Item ID:49046–Plans for the development of Corkagh Park FisheriesCouncillor M. Ward"To ask the Chief Executive to provide an update on the plans for the future development of the Corkagh Park fishing lakes."**REPLY:**BK Golf management Ltd has been managing the Fishery since April 2013 in line with the Camac Valley Licence Agreement at the requirement of South Dublin County Council pending the outcome of a tender process specific to the Fishery operation.A management concession for the facility was tendered in November 2013 but the process was unsuccessful as no tenders were received.Since then the Council has considered options for management of the facility and is now preparing to re-issue a tender for the management and operation of the facility with a particular focus on community linkages, and previous experience in managing Community based fishing facilities or other similar facilities on a not for profit basis.C/343/16 H-10 Item ID:49311New Works **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**C/344/16 H-11 Item ID:49293 – Rathcoole Park**The following report was presented by Ms. M. Maguire, Senior Executive Officer:*****Rathcoole Park – Dogs off leash arrangements***Following submission of [question to the November 2015](http://intranet/cmas/documentsview.aspx?id=49591) Area Committee Meeting for a report on the possible provision of a dog run in Rathcoole Park, it was reported that while off leash areas (dog runs) can be readily accommodated in major parks, where the park extends to over 100 hectares, it was considered that an alternative approach would be better suited to small parks such as Rathcoole Park, which extends to only about 14 hectares. The response proposed the introduction, on a trial basis for a period of one year, designated times for dogs to be allowed off leash in Rathcoole Park.However since then careful consideration has been given to the proposal, and it is now considered inappropriate to proceed as intended with "off leash" arrangements in Rathcoole Park for the following reasons:1. Difficulty with effective enforcement of [Section 9 of the Control of Dogs Act](http://www.irishstatutebook.ie/eli/1986/act/32/section/9/enacted/en/html) which requires a person in charge of a dog to accompany it and keep it under effectual control,
2. potential conflict with other park users,
3. danger to park users and other dogs from energetic / excitable dogs,
4. potential damage that can be caused to wildlife and in general,
5. proliferation of dog fouling over an extended area

It is now intended to reconsider the provision of an off leash area (enclosed dog run).  The project will be costed, and may be included in the 2017 Public Realm Improvement Works ProgrammeThe potential location and timelines can then be advised to the members in due course, being cognisant of major works proposed in / around this area within the coming months (Leixlip (Peamount) / Saggart Strategic Water Main). **Following contributions from Councillors F. Timmons and B. Bonner, Ms. M. Maguire, Senior Executive Officer, responded to queries raised and the report was NOTED.**C/345/16 H-12 Item ID:49403 – Monastery HeathThe following report was presented by Mr. M. Hannon, Senior Executive Parks Superintendent:***Update on Monastery Heath*** The developer for Monastery Heath has now appointed a member of his staff to deal directly with this issue and a meeting has been arranged with this person for the 18th May 2016. A report on this meeting will be presented to a future meeting of the Clondalkin Area Committee.Following contributions from Councillor F. Timmons, Mr. M. Hannon and Mr. D. Fennell, Senior Executive Parks Superintendents, responded to queries raised and it was **AGREED** that a further report would be brought to the next meeting. The report was **NOTED**C/346/16 H-13 Item ID:49440 – Rathcoole car parkThe following report was presented by Mr. M. Hannon, Senior Executive Parks Superintendent**Rathcoole Car Park**[HI 13 Powerpoint](http://www.sdublincoco.ie/sdcc/departments/corporate/apps/cmas/documentsview.aspx?id=52126)The proposal for a car park to serve Rathcoole Park is problematic. The original plan was for a location off Fitzmaurice Road but there are constraints with this because of the presence of the Boherboy water main. A number of other sites have been identified in consultation with Roads Planning and each has its own particular constraint. All of the sites investigated are listed in the following table and identified in an accompanying Powerpoint presentation:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Fitzmaurice Road – opposite Avoca**  | Problems with the presence of the Boherboy water main.Issues with adjoining residents  |
| **Access via existing depot**  | Serious conflict between traffic and depot traffic.   Not suitable for pedestrians.Similar issues to Fitzmaurice Road  |
| **Beechwood Lawns**  | Narrow roads accessWould result in removal of existing rose garden  |
| **Springbank Cottages**  | Might be an option. Bridge necessary and would increase costs  |
| **Future Rathcoole By Pass Road on Development Plan**  | May be the best option.However it would be a long term project  |

As there is no immediate feasible solution to this proposal it is recommended that it is not considered further in 2016.Following contributions from Councillors B. Bonner and M. Johansson, Mr. M. Hannon, Senior Executive Parks Superintendent, responded to queries raised and the report was **NOTED.**C/347/16 C(7) Item ID:49312Correspondence **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**C/348/16 M(5) Item ID:49013 – Provision of playspace in NewcastleCouncillor F. Timmons**Cathaoirleach's Business****It was proposed by Councillor F. Timmons and seconded by Councillor T. Gilligan:**"That a report is presented for discussion on what options have been looked at and what options are open to providing a much needed Playspace for Newcastle."(The following report was presented to the Clondalkin Area Committee meeting on the 20th April 2016:“The long term plans for a new park in Newcastle are included in the adopted Newcastle Local Area Plan. Whilst progress on implementing this plan has slowed due in the main to the recent economic downturn there are now signs that the impediments to restarting development in this area are being removed.  This park will accommodate a larger play space area as well as other active recreation facilities.  In terms of providing local play spaces it is intended to shortly examine the current provision of open spaces in the Newcastle area to determine if there are any that may be suitable for this purpose.”)It has not been possible to conduct the analysis of the open spaces in Newcastle to date but it is planned to undertake this before the June ACM meeting and to provide an up to date report on the proposals for play spaces in Newcastle.Following contributions from Councillors F. Timmons and T. Gilligan, Mr. M. Hannon, Senior Executive Parks Superintendent, responded to queries raised and the report was **NOTED.**C/349/16 M(6) Item ID:49068 – Provisions of autism friendly playgrounds Councillor J. Graham**It was proposed by Councillor J Graham and seconded by Councillor F. Timmons:**"That this Committee agrees that the Council undertake works to ensure that our existing playgrounds are autism friendly. Furthermore that the Council accommodate this in all future playgrounds and play-spaces in the programme."The following report by the Chief Executive was **READ**:Prior to commencing the 2014 – 2018 Play Space programme, the Council received advice on Universal Design and developed a short document that reflects this and is issued with each tender. This document contains information from the Centre for Excellence in Universal Design.  The Council also includes accessibility as a marking criteria in every new playground.  Items such as the double width slides, increased sensory play and free play opportunities are an outcome of this approach.  We are constantly developing our knowledge in this area but if there are any specific measures which we may not be aware of we are happy to consider them. **Following contributions from Councillors J. Graham, F. Timmons and B. Bonner, Mr. M. Hannon and Mr. D. Fennell, Senior Executive Park Superintendents, responded to queries raised and the report was NOTED.**C/350/16 M(7) Item ID:49229 – Grass verges in Woodford Grove/Monksfield CourtCouncillor T. Gilligan**It was proposed by Councillor T. Gilligan and seconded by Councillor F. Timmons:**"That the Chief Executive cut the grass verges outside the houses in Woodford Grove & Monksfield Court. The grass is too long and has not been cut in years. Rats are hiding in the grass verges."The following report by the Chief Executive was **READ**:The Council cuts roadside verges on district distributor roads, main roads and outside public parks and open spaces as part of grass cutting operations. The Council does not cut roadside verges to the front or side of houses in housing estates. The cutting of grass outside the houses in Woodford Grove and Monksfield Court would be a matter for residents. The grass verges at the above locations have been inspected and there was no evidence of rats present.**Following contributions from Councillors T. Gilligan, B. Bonner and K. Egan, Mr. D. Fennell, Senior Executive Park Superintendent, and Ms. M. Maguire, Senior Executive Officer, responded to queries raised and the report was NOTED.**C/351/16 M(8) Item ID:49328 – Car park sites to Rathcoole ParkCouncillor E. HigginsIn the absence of Councillor E. Higgins the following motion **FELL:**"That the Roads and Parks Department presents a report on prospective sites for the much needed car park to service Rathcoole Park, as agreed at the March ACM" C/352/16 M(9) Item ID:49441 –Pedestrian access to Corkagh ParkCouncillor M. Ward**It was proposed by Councillor M. Ward and seconded by Councillor F. Timmons**“This committee calls for additional pedestrian access to Corkagh Park from the top of Cherrywood Avenue to allow ease of access for clubs and members of the public"The following report by the Chief Executive was **READ**:An examination of the top of Cherrywood Avenue was undertaken to assess its suitability for the provision of an additional pedestrian entrance into Clondalkin Park. This area is close to the junction with the Fonthill Road and the junction with the first cul de sac in Cherryfield Avenue. Any proposed entrance would have to be located away from these junctions somewhere opposite house numbers 14-17 Cherrywood Avenue. In order to provide an entrance at this location the following works would be required on the outside of the park:1. Provide a footpath between the Fonthill Road and the location of the pedestrian entrance.
2. Provide a drop kerb on the verge to facilitate access.
3. Relocate 1 road sign and a 1 lighting column to make provision for the footpath.
4. Install a kissing gate type entrance.

On the inside of the park the following works would have to be carried out to facilitate access.1. Provide a footbridge over the open ditch which fills with water during periods of high rainfall.
2. Provide approximately 230 meters of footpath to link with the existing paths in the park.

As part of the exercise the current access and parking facilities were also assessed and it was noted that there is already an existing pedestrian entrance to the park at the end of Cherrywood Avenue. This access is located away from junctions and provides safe access to the park. A second pedestrian entrance is available on the Fonthill Road near St Johns Grove. In addition there are 2 large car parks available to clubs to use when visiting the park. The Conditions of Pitch Allocations for clubs states that “Where designated car parking is provided, Clubs must ensure that both their members and the visiting teams avail of these facilities”.The cost of the works to provide an additional pedestrian entrance would be significant and given that the park is already well served with pedestrian access and parking facilities, there are no proposals to provide an additional pedestrian entrance at the top of Cherrywood Avenue.**Following contributions from Councillors M. Ward and B. Bonner, Mr. D. Fennell, Senior Executive Park Superintendent, responded to queries raised and the report was NOTED.**C/353/16 M(10) Item ID:49449 – Memorial Garden at Newlands CrossCouncillor B. Bonner**It was proposed by Councillor B. Bonner and seconded by Councillor F. Timmons**"This committee commends the work of all involved in planning, organising and working on the 1916 memorial garden at Newlands Cross."The following report by the Chief Executive was **READ**:The 1916 Commemoration Garden at Newlands Cross was based on a proposal by the Clondalkin Tidy Towns Committee. The Council was happy to assist with the proposal both financially and also with professional advice. It is a project, in the Decade of Centenaries that both the Committee and the Council as well as the wider local and county population can be proud of.**Following contributions from Councillors B. Bonner, F. Timmons and M. Ward, Mr. M. Hannon, Senior Executive Park Superintendent, responded to queries raised and the report was NOTED.**C/354/16 M(11) Item ID:49249 – Dog run in Collinstown Park Councillor F. Timmons**It was proposed by Councillor F. Timmons and seconded by Councillor T. Gilligan** "That this committee calls for a dog run to be provided in Collinstown Park like the one in Corkagh Park."The following report by the Chief Executive was **READ** and **NOTED:**The provision of a dog run in Collinstown Park will be considered as part of the masterplan for the park.C/355/16 M(12) Item ID:49230 – Tree PruningCouncillor T. Gilligan**It was proposed by Councillor T. Gilligan and seconded by Councillor B Bonner:**"That the Chief Executive prunes the trees at \*address supplied\*. This was requested over 5 years ago.  It is blocking the view of the resident's car which is adapted for his disability.  It was broken into twice in the last month."The following report by the Chief Executive was **READ** and **NOTED**:The tree at this location was pruned to raise the crown in February 2015.  Arising from further inspection of the trees within this cul-de-sac, the tree is now listed for removal on the current 2016 Tree Maintenance Programme due to its close proximity to the adjacent lamp standard.  This work will be carried out over the coming months as part of the ongoing tree maintenance programme.  Where a tree is removed from a roadside verge, due to proximity to a public lighting column, a replacement tree will not be planted back into the same verge.HousingC/356/16 QuestionsIt was proposed by Councillor F. Timmons and seconded by Councillor K. Egan and Resolved:“That pursuant to Standing Order 13, Questions 6 and 7 be ADOPTED and APPROVED”.C/357/16 Q(6) Item ID:49459 – Housing Adaption GrantsCouncillor F. Timmons"To ask the Chief Executive for a report from SDCC into the numbers of people waiting for Housing adaption grants for people with a disability in the Clondalkin area?"**REPLY:**The waiting list for the Disability Grant Schemes that covers private houses and includes, Housing Adaptation Grant for People with A Disability (HAG), Mobility Aid Housing Grant (MAG) and Housing Aid for Older People, (HOP), for the Clondalkin Electoral Area is as follows.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **SCHEME**  | **No. of applications on hand not approved as at 9/5/2016.**  |
| **HAG**  | 12  |
| **MAG**  | 4  |
| **HOP**  | 2  |

There are currently 88 applicants on the Councils Tenant Disabled Persons Grants Scheme in the Clondalkin Electoral Area.C/358/16 Q(7) Item ID:49460 – Windows & Door ReplacementsCouncillor F. Timmons"To ask for a report from SDCC into the numbers of people waiting for Window and door replacements in the Clondalkin area and a progress report on same?"**REPLY:**There are currently 838 addresses listed for windows and door replacements in the County.  Of these 314 are in the Clondalkin Electoral AreaIt is expected that there will be approx. 165 to 170 replacements countywide in 2016 of which approximately 40% will be in the Clondalkin Electoral Area.Those windows and doors on the replacement programme since late 2011 and early 2012 are being replaced currently.There is approximately a 4 year waiting list.  C/359/16 H-14 Item ID:49313New Works **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**C/360/16 C(8) Item ID:49314Correspondence **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**C/361/16 M(13) Item ID:49045 – OT reports for council tenantsCouncillor M. Ward**It was proposed by Councillor M. Ward and seconded by Councillor B. Bonner:**"To ask the Chief Executive to provide a report for discussion at the meeting on the ongoing negotiations between the Council and HSE regarding the provision and funding of OT reports for council tenants applying for adaptation grants to council properties."The following report by the Chief Executive was **READ**:The role of the Primary Care Occupational Therapist (HSE) is to provide the following :* Advice re local authority grant system
* OT functional reports
* Advice and provision of specialist and enabling equipment to optimise safety/independence
* Wheelchair assessment and seating provision
* Advice for pressure relief relevant to seating
* Assessments for hoists and other manual handling equipment provision
* Carer advice and support
* Onward referral to Occupational Therapy Specialists as required e.g. paediatrics, specialised seating units

A decision was made by the  HSE Dublin South Central, (Former Dublin West) region to discontinue the provision of OT reports to applicants who wish to apply for DPGs.  However, the HSE in the Dublin South, and all other areas except South Central, are continuing to provide this service for applicants.  We have so far received no explanation for this decision.The DPG Section will continue to negotiate this issue with Senior Management of the HSE until an agreement with reached regarding this issue, and a meeting has been requested by SDCC with the HSE.  We will continue to be committed to processing applications with OT reports as efficiently as possible. Following contribution from Councillors M. Ward and B. Bonner,Mr. H. Hogan, Senior Executive Officer, responded to queries raised. The report was **NOTED** and it was **AGREED** to issue a letter to the HSE outlining details of the motion regarding the inadequate level of OT service in the Clondalkin area and requesting attendance at the next Meeting. C/362/16 M(14) Item ID:49048 – Replacement of boundary wallCouncillor M. Ward**It was proposed by Councillor M. Ward and seconded by Councillor T. Gilligan:** "This committee agrees that the Council should replace the small boundary wall at 1 Kilmahuddrick Court"The following report by the Chief Executive was **READ**:The replacement of boundary walls and fencing is a tenant responsibility and is not undertaken by the CouncilThe wall at this location was knocked down in 2011 by a joy rider.  The Council did make the location safe at that time by removing the bricks and rubble.Following a contribution from Councillor M. Ward, Mr H. Hogan, Senior Executive Officer, responded to queries raised and the report was **NOTED.**CommunityC/363/16 QuestionsIt was NOTED there was no business under this headingC/364/16 H-15 Item ID:49315New Works **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**C/365/16 C(9) Item ID:49316Correspondence **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**TransportationC/366/16 QuestionsIt was proposed by Councillor F. Timmons and seconded by Councillor K. Egan and Resolved:“That pursuant to Standing Order 13, Question 8 be ADOPTED and APPROVED”.C/367/16 Q(8) Item ID:48674 – Upgrading of street lightingCouncillor J. Graham"To ask the Chief Executive whether Rathlawns Estate, Rathcoole is due to have its street lighting upgraded and if so when?"**REPLY:** We have surveyed this area and the Public Lighting at Rathlawns is pre 1990s and as such presents us with some technical difficulties regarding an upgrade in the short term.However, Rathlawns will be added to our list for future works.C/368/16 H-16 Item ID:49318New Works **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**C/369/16 H-17 Item ID:49317Proposed Declaration of Roads to be Public Roads **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**C/370/16 H-18 Item ID:49604 – Update on Pedestrian Crossings**The following report was presented by Mr. J. Kennelly,Senior Executive Engineer:****Update on Pedestrian Crossings**Pedestrian/traffic counts are being undertaken throughout the county. In the Clondalkin area counts undertaken indicate that a warrant exists for the provision of a pedestrian crossing on Balgaddy Road at Meile an Ri.The report was **NOTED.**C/371/16 H-19 Item ID:49630 – Update on Aylmer Heath Pyrite**The following report was presented by Mr. J. Kennelly, Senior Executive Engineer:****Update on Aylmer Heath Pyrite**The Pyrite Remediation Scheme is managed by the Housing Agency on behalf of the Pyrite Resolution Board. South Dublin County Council has no oversight role/involvement in this process. The Council has however sought an update from the Pyrite Resolution Board on their works at Aylmer Heath and await their response.In an e-mail dated 11 March 2016, from Mr Martin Whelan, Head of Public Affairs, NAMA to both Deputy Eoin Ó Broin and Mr Neil McCarthy (resident Aylmer Heath), Mr Whelan confirmed that NAMA has approved funds for the completion of the works in 5 areas of footpath in Aylmer Heath where pyrite damage had been identified by Mr Willie Purcell, Senior Engineer, South Dublin County Council.**It was AGREED to take Headed Item 19 with Motion 17 in the name of Councillor M. Ward.**M17 Item ID:49047 – Pyrite Scheme at Alymer HeathCouncillor M. Ward**It was proposed by Councillor M. Ward and seconded by Councillor F. Timmons:**"To ask the Chief Executive  to provide a report for discussion at the meeting on the taking in charge of and pyrite scheme remedial work at Alymer Heath, Newcastle."The following report by the Chief Executive was **READ**:To date The Council has received no request to take Aylmer Heath in charge (TIC). Furthermore, the estate is not completed to a TIC standard. All works (including external pyrite remediation works) must be complete in the public areas prior to TIC. Discussions with Knightstone (in receivership) have indicated that the site resolution works necessary to progress to TIC should be completed within the next 12 months subject to the necessary funding from NAMA.The Pyrite Remediation Scheme is managed by the Housing Agency on behalf of the Pyrite Remediation Board. South Dublin County Council has no oversight role/involvement in this process. The Council has however sought an update from the Pyrite Board on their works at Aylmer Heath and await their response.In an e-mail dated 11 March 2016, from Mr Martin Whelan, Head of Public Affairs, NAMA to both Deputy Eoin Ó Broin and Mr Neil McCarthy (resident Aylmer Heath), Mr Whelan confirmed that NAMA has approved funds for the completion of the works in 5 areas of footpath in Aylmer Heath where pyrite damage had been identified by Mr Willie Purcell, Senior Engineer, South Dublin County Council. In accordance with the above, these works must be completed in advance of TIC by the Council.Following contributions from Councillors F. Timmons and M. Ward, Mr. J. Kennelly, Senior Executive Engineer, responded to queries raised and the report was **NOTED**C/372/16 C(10) Item ID:49319Correspondence **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**C/373/16 M(15) Item ID:49250 – Newlands Cross Upgrade SchemeCouncillor F. Timmons**Cathaoirleach's Business****It was proposed by Councillor F. Timmons and seconded by Councillor B. Bonner:** "That this committee asks SDCC to provide a full report on why the level of screening at Newlands flyover is unsatisfactory and brief the members on what will be done to rectify this as a matter of urgency."The following report by the Chief Executive was **READ**:The Conditions attached to An Bord Pleanala’s Approval for the Newlands Cross Upgrade Scheme dated 06 June 2008 included inter alia1. The proposed development shall be modified to have regard to the summary of all mitigation measures agreed by Kildare County Council at the oral hearing and specifically as enumerated in the document entitled “Newlands Cross Oral Hearing – Final Schedule of Commitments”, submitted at the oral hearing on 10th April 2008.

**Reason:** In order to define the mitigation measures to be carried out and to ensure that the development will not have significant adverse effects on the environment.1. (a) A continuous solid 1.8 metre high noise barrier (in place of a 1.5 metre barrier) shall be provided on the north side of the mainline carriageway to extend as shown in Figure 8.4 of the environmental impact statement.

 (b) A 2.5m high solid stone (limestone) wall shall be provided along the rear boundaries of all the residential units (that wish to have such a wall) at No.’s 7 to 33 Newlands. These walls (for all those who wish to avail of this improved boundary treatment) shall be provided during the site preparatory stage of the proposed development (i.e. in conjunction with service diversions) and associated semi-mature landscape planting at this location shall be provided as soon as is practicable after completion of these boundary walls.**Reason:** To protect the amenities of residential properties adjacent to the proposed road development.The Document entitled “Newlands Cross Oral Hearing – Final Schedule of Commitments”, submitted at the oral hearing on 10th April 2008 (referred to in Condition 1 above) includes inter alia:* **Newlands Road/Newlands Drive/New Road**

* Semi-mature tree planting (planted at approx. 5m height) to the grass verge to the rear of properties to the north east of the N7, on Newlands Road is proposed in response to concerns raised by residents, should the inspector wish to include it
* There will be a consistency in appearance of the selected ancillary materials along the road corridor i.e. Rubble stone-clad finish to the walls of the overpass, road signage, lighting barriers etc.
* Appropriate noise barrier will be provided

 **Residential Amenity*** ***There will be a localised moderate and negative impact on some of the residential properties on Newlands Road, particularly No.7 – No 33, by the proposed development during the operational stage. Views from these properties, particularly the medium and long distance views to the Green belt, Tallaght and distant Dublin mountains to the south east will be affected.*** [Emphasis added]

**Privacy & Overlooking** * As previously mentioned, the houses that will be most affected by the various impacts of the proposed development are No. 7 – No. 33 Newlands Road.
* The design speed of the proposed overpass is 85km/hr with a speed limit of 80km/hr. These will ensure that the traffic is transient, in motion, and not stationary.
* The proposed noise barrier which is 1.5m in height will mitigate the majority of views from the road corridor towards the rear gardens of the residential properties on Newlands Road, north-east of the junction*.* ***There will however be views towards these gardens from Heavy Goods Vehicles (HGV make up approximately 13% or road usage).*** [Emphasis added]
* The additional landscape mitigation proposed of semi-mature broadleaf tree plantings to the south of the rear boundaries of houses on Newlands Road will ***in time*** create a visual screen. {Emphasis added}.
* The distance of the properties from the proposed overpass, averages at 35m (115ft), as well as existing trees and hedgerows in some of the rear gardens themselves, will assist in maintaining privacy and minimise the impacts of overlooking. ***Additional tree planting as shown in the sections will assist in increasing privacy, particularly where back gardens have little or no existing screening in the form of vegetation.*** [Emphasis added]

The Council is satisfied that any negative impact on the houses on Newlands Road (including the views) emanating from the finished scheme was acknowledged at the Oral Hearing and addressed by the ‘Bord’ by way of Conditions attaching to their Decision.In addition however, and following numerous meetings/correspondences with both residents from Newlands Road and their elected representatives, the NRA (now TII) has already provided substantial additional mitigating measures to the rear of those properties on Newlands Road namely* The 2.5m high boundary wall to the rear of Newlands Road properties was extended beyond that required by the ABP condition, as far as No. 53 Newlands Road;
* The species of tree planted in the verge along the service road to the rear of the properties was changed to one with a greater spread and faster growth;
* The height of the trees planted between No. 25 and 53 Newlands Road were in excess of 7m, instead of the 5m height specified in the Schedule of Commitments;
* The number of trees planted between No. 25 and 53 Newlands Road was doubled from that originally specified as a result of reducing the spacing between trees from 10m to 5m;
* The 1.8m high noise barrier on the road embankment was extended by over 40m (from that conditioned by ABP) to provide additional screening and noise mitigation to adjacent properties;
* The Contractor replaced existing trees that were removed from gardens to facilitate the construction of the boundary wall (where agreed with the property owner in advance of commencing works to the wall).

During the Construction phase, in Jan 2015, RPS Consulting Engineers at the request of the NRA, undertook an independent review of planning compliance following a formal complaint that there had been a number of infringements to commitments made in the EIS and in the Final Schedule of Commitments. RPS formed the view that the Landscaping Plan was compliant with the EIS, the Final Schedule of Commitments and the Construction Requirements.The Council is satisfied therefore that landscaping for the scheme (including to the rear of properties on Newlands Road) was properly planned and executed and especially when taken in combination with the additional mitigating measures referred to above, will **in time** create the visual screen envisaged in the ‘Approved Scheme’. The level of screening therefore is satisfactory and no further measures are necessary or will be provided.Finally, the Newlands Cross Upgrade Scheme was designed, built and financed by the TII. It is also operated and maintained by them for the most part except for the sections of Belgard, Fonthill and Boot Road that lie within the scheme parameters. SDCC therefore has no responsibilities for the ongoing and future maintenance of the landscaping to the rear of these properties.It was **AGREED** to take Motion 15 with Motion 19 in the name of Councillor B. Bonner:M19 Item ID:49358 – Visual impact of flyover at Newlands CrossCouncillor B. Bonner**It was proposed by Councillor B. Bonner and seconded by Councillor F. Timmons:** "This committee agrees that more effort should be made to protect the residents of Newlands Road from the visual impact of the flyover at Newlands cross.  If there is no scope for further planting along the perimeter, planting should be offered in the gardens of those residents who desire it to provide the visual screen which was a condition of the planning permission for the flyover."   **REPORT:**The Conditions attached to An Bord Pleanála’s Approval for the Newlands Cross Upgrade Scheme dated 06 June 2008 included inter alia1. The proposed development shall be modified to have regard to the summary of all mitigation measures agreed by Kildare County Council at the oral hearing and specifically as enumerated in the document entitled “Newlands Cross Oral Hearing – Final Schedule of Commitments”, submitted at the oral hearing on 10th April 2008.

**Reason:** In order to define the mitigation measures to be carried out and to ensure that the development will not have significant adverse effects on the environment.1. (a) A continuous solid 1.8 metre high noise barrier (in place of a 1.5 metre barrier) shall be provided on the north side of the mainline carriageway to extend as shown in Figure 8.4 of the environmental impact statement.

 (b) A 2.5m high solid stone (limestone) wall shall be provided along the rear boundaries of all the residential units (that wish to have such a wall) at No.’s 7 to 33 Newlands. These walls (for all those who wish to avail of this improved boundary treatment) shall be provided during the site preparatory stage of the proposed development (i.e. in conjunction with service diversions) and associated semi-mature landscape planting at this location shall be provided as soon as is practicable after completion of these boundary walls.**Reason:** To protect the amenities of residential properties adjacent to the proposed road development.The Document entitled “Newlands Cross Oral Hearing – Final Schedule of Commitments”, submitted at the oral hearing on 10th April 2008 (referred to in Condition 1 above) includes inter alia:* **Newlands Road/Newlands Drive/New Road**

* Semi-mature tree planting (planted at approx. 5m height) to the grass verge to the rear of properties to the north east of the N7, on Newlands Road is proposed in response to concerns raised by residents, should the inspector wish to include it
* There will be a consistency in appearance of the selected ancillary materials along the road corridor i.e. Rubble stone-clad finish to the walls of the overpass, road signage, lighting barriers etc
* Appropriate noise barrier will be provided

 **Residential Amenity*** ***There will be a localised moderate and negative impact on some of the residential properties on Newlands Road, particularly No.7 – No 33, by the proposed development during the operational stage. Views from these properties, particularly the medium and long distance views to the Green belt, Tallaght and distant Dublin mountains to the south east will be affected.*** [Emphasis added]

**Privacy & Overlooking** * As previously mentioned, the houses that will be most affected by the various impacts of the proposed development are No. 7 – No. 33 Newlands Road.
* The design speed of the proposed overpass is 85km/hr with a speed limit of 80km/hr. These will ensure that the traffic is transient, in motion, and not stationary.
* The proposed noise barrier which is 1.5m in height will mitigate the majority of views from the road corridor towards the rear gardens of the residential properties on Newlands Road, north-east of the junction*.* ***There will however be views towards these gardens from Heavy Goods Vehicles (HGV make up approximately 13% or road usage).*** [Emphasis added]
* The additional landscape mitigation proposed of semi-mature broadleaf tree plantings to the south of the rear boundaries of houses on Newlands Road will ***in time*** create a visual screen. {Emphasis added}.
* The distance of the properties from the proposed overpass, averages at 35m (115ft), as well as existing trees and hedgerows in some of the rear gardens themselves, will assist in maintaining privacy and minimise the impacts of overlooking. ***Additional tree planting as shown in the sections will assist in increasing privacy, particularly where back gardens have little or no existing screening in the form of vegetation.*** [Emphasis added]

The Council is satisfied that any negative impact on the houses on Newlands Road (including the views) emanating from the finished scheme was acknowledged at the Oral Hearing and addressed by the ‘Bord’ by way of Conditions attaching to their Decision.In addition however, and following numerous meetings/correspondences with both residents from Newlands Road and their elected representatives, the NRA (now TII) has already provided substantial additional mitigating measures to the rear of those properties on Newlands Road namely* The 2.5m high boundary wall to the rear of Newlands Road properties was extended beyond that required by the ABP condition, as far as No. 53 Newlands Road;
* The species of tree planted in the verge along the service road to the rear of the properties was changed to one with a greater spread and faster growth;
* The height of the trees planted between No. 25 and 53 Newlands Road were in excess of 7m, instead of the 5m height specified in the Schedule of Commitments;
* The number of trees planted between No. 25 and 53 Newlands Road was doubled from that originally specified as a result of reducing the spacing between trees from 10m to 5m;
* The 1.8m high noise barrier on the road embankment was extended by over 40m (from that conditioned by ABP) to provide additional screening and noise mitigation to adjacent properties;
* The Contractor replaced existing trees that were removed from gardens to facilitate the construction of the boundary wall (where agreed with the property owner in advance of commencing works to the wall).

During the Construction phase, in Jan 2015, RPS Consulting Engineers at the request of the NRA, undertook an independent review of planning compliance following a formal complaint that there had been a number of infringements to commitments made in the EIS and in the Final Schedule of Commitments. RPS formed the view that the Landscaping Plan was compliant with the EIS, the Final Schedule of Commitments and the Construction Requirements.The Council is satisfied therefore that landscaping for the scheme (including to the rear of properties on Newlands Road) was properly planned and executed and especially when taken in combination with the additional mitigating measures referred to above, will **in time** create the visual screen envisaged in the ‘Approved Scheme’. No further measures are necessary or will be provided.Finally, the Newlands Cross Upgrade Scheme was designed, built and financed by the TII. It is also operated and maintained by them for the most part except for the sections of Belgard, Fonthill and Boot Road that lie within the scheme parameters. SDCC therefore has no responsibilities for the ongoing and future maintenance of the landscaping to the rear of these properties.Neither the Council nor the TII will carry out planting in any private garden.The report was **NOTED and f**ollowing contributions from Councillors F. Timmons, B. Bonner, T. Gilligan, K. Egan and M. Ward, it was **AGREED** to write to TII and the Minister for Transport outlining details of the motion in an effort to secure additional funding to remedy the problem. C/374/16 M(16) Item ID:49032 – Roadside barriers in Clondalkin VillageCouncillor B. Bonner**It was proposed by Councillor B. Bonner and seconded by Councillor F. Timmons:** "That the Chief Executive present a report on the roadside barriers in the Clondalkin Village area in particular, representations have been made to me about the barriers on the Fonthill Road between Boot Road and the Caldbeck Way junction, and the barriers at the Orchard Road / Monastery Road junction."The following report by the Chief Executive was **READ**: The Area Engineer has been requested to carry out an inspection of the barriers. If repairs are necessary they will be included in the works programme.Following contribution from Councillor B. Bonner, Mr. Jim Kennelly, Senior Executive Engineer, respond to queries raised and the report was **NOTED** C/375/16 M(18) Item ID:49383 – Road Safety audit in NewcastleCouncillor F. Timmons**It was proposed by Councillor F. Timmons and seconded by Councillor T.. Gilligan:** "That this committee demands that a safety audit be done in Newcastle to determine safety needs especially for the Glebe estate and children going to school along this busy road."The following report by the Chief Executive was **READ**:This road has been assessed and consideration is being given to the  provision of an additional school warden at this location.A traffic calming ramp has already been installed to mitigate the risk on this road and remaining civil works i.e. dishings etc. will be completed shortly.A meeting was also held with the school to discuss the results of a walkability audit.Following contributions from Councillors F. Timmons, B. Bonner, M. Johansson and T. Gilligan, Mr. J. Kennelly, Senior Executive Engineer, responded to queries raised and the report was **NOTED.**  C/376/16 M(20) Item ID:49454 – Advice from Tidy Towns adjudicators Councillor F. Timmons**It was proposed by Councillor F. Timmons and seconded by Councillor T. Gilligan:**"To ask that the Chief Executive get all redundant traffic and road signage removed from North Clondalkin as per advice of Tidy Towns adjudicators?"The following report by the Chief Executive was **READ**: The recommendations of the Tidy Towns Adjudicators will be examined with a view to remove if not required.Following contribution from Councillor F. Timmons and B. Bonner, Mr. J. Kennelly, Senior Executive Engineer, responded to queries raised and the report was **NOTED.**PlanningC/377/16 QuestionsIt was proposed by Councillor F. Timmons and seconded by Councillor K. Egan and Resolved:“That pursuant to Standing Order 13, Question 9 be ADOPTED and APPROVED”.C/378/16 Q(9) Item ID:49455 – Landscaping of open space Councillor B. Bonner"Residents in Newlands, Clondalkin, ask that the green space adjoining  the boundary between Newlands estate and Joel's / The Louis Fitzgerald Hotel would be landscaped and improved as was promised at the time the hotel was granted planning permission."**REPLY:**Planning permission was granted by SDCC (Register Reference SD10A/0082) on 13th July 2010 for ‘retention and continuation of use of the side passage as a pedestrian access for patrons only to Joel’s Restaurant including the associated gate in the boundary with Joels Restaurant and permission for associated boundary treatment including a 1.2 metre rendered and capped wall for the full length of the boundary with the open space area’.Condition 4 of the permission required the following:‘Prior to commencement of works on site, a planting scheme for the proposed boundary wall with the open space area shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Planning Authority. The planting scheme shall include schedules of plants noting species, plant sizes, the proposed numbers and densities and an implementation programme. All plants supplied shall comply with the requirements of British Standard 3936, Specification for Nursery Stock. Any shrub planted in accordance with this condition which are removed, die, become severely damaged or become seriously diseased within five years of planting shall be replaced within the next planting season by plants of similar size and species to those originally required to be planted. Reason: To ensure appropriate landscaping of the site in the interest of visual and residential amenity’ There is no record of any submission to comply with the above condition.No formal complaint in relation to this condition has been received by the Planning Department’s Enforcement Section.There were two previous Enforcement complaints relating to this location.  Both complaints have been resolved to the satisfaction of the Planning Authority and the relevant files have been closed.C/379/16 H-20 Item ID:49321New Works **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading**C/380/16 H-21 Item ID:49479 – Update of RIC Barracks**The following report was presented by Ms. B. Fogarty, Administrative Officer:*****Update RIC Barracks***The investigation into alleged endangerment of a Protected Structure is continuing.  As you are aware there are difficulties arranging authorised access to the property to establish the condition of the interior of the building.  These difficulties have not been overcome to date despite extensive investigation which is ongoing.As the property is in private ownerships apart from continuing the above investigation South Dublin County Council has no other plans for the building.**Following a contribution from Councillor F. Timmons, Ms. B. Fogarty, Administrative Officer, responded to query raised and the report was NOTED.**C/381/16 H-22 Item ID:49320The following report was presented by Ms. S. Duff, Senior Executive Planner:**Planning Files**A. Large Applications Under Consideration**SD16A/0117****Applicant**: Frank Kiernan**Location**: Aylmer Heath, Newcastle, Co. Dublin**Development**: The demolition of a derelict football club clubhouse (129sq.m.) and the construction of 49 two storey dwellings comprising 26 three bed-room semi-detached dwellings, 5 three bed-room detached dwellings, 2 two bed-room semi-detached dwellings and 16 three bed-room terrace dwellings (all with roof mounted solar collector panels); new vehicular access points, internal roads and footpaths, a new public open space area, boundary treatments, hard and soft landscaping treatments; a total of 95 car parking spaces (59 off curtilage spaces and 36 in curtilage spaces) and associated site development works above and below ground. The site is split in two land parcels: the larger parcel of land is bounded to the northeast by agricultural land and to the east, southeast and northwest by existing residential development. The smaller parcel of land is bounded to the north, east and west by existing residential development and to the southwest by Main Street. The proposed development represents the completion of the Aylmer Heath residential development previously permitted under Reg. Ref. SD04A/0936, which was subsequently amended under SD07A/0049.**Comments**: Councillors F. Timmons, M. Ward, B. Bonner, M. Johansson and K. Egan objected to this application.B. Files Requested by Members**SD16A/0110****Applicant**: Xiangwei Zhang**Location**: 48 Tower Road, Clondalkin, Dublin 22.**Development**: Change of use of ground floor from retail to restaurant and installation of kitchen extract flue at rear at ground floor.**Comments**: Councillors F. Timmons and B. Bonner noted the applicationC/382/16 C(11) Item ID:49322Correspondence **It was NOTED there was no business under this heading****The meeting concluded at 16.45p.m.****Signed: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** |